IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Crl. Misc. No. 69325-M of 2006

Gobind Singh

.... PETITIONER

Versus

State of Punjab

..... RESPONDENT

Crl. Misc. No. 75418-M of 2006

Gurvinder Singh alias Gobind

.... PETITIONER

Versus

State of Punjab

..... RESPONDENT

DATE OF DECISION : 13.02.2007

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present: Mr. J.B.S. Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner
(in Crl. Misc. No. 69325-M of 2006).

Mr. Sukhbir Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners
(in Crl. Misc. No. 75418-M of 2006)

Mr. N.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

This order shall dispose of Crl. Misc. No. 69325-M of 2006, filed by Gobind Singh and Crl. Misc. No. 75418-M of 2006, filed by Gurvinder Singh alias Gobind for the grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 133 dated 14.5.2006 under Sections 307, 323, 324, 452, 380, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station City Hoshiarpur.

I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the contents of the FIR.

In this case, both the petitioners are in custody since 21.5.2006. Counsel for the petitioners state that though charge has been framed, but no prosecution witness has been examined so far. As per allegations in the aforesaid FIR, the main accused is Ramandeep Singh, who is alleged to have inflicted injuries to the complainant Pushpa Devi. It is alleged that both the petitioners were accompanying the main accused. Counsel for the petitioners submitted that except this, there is no specific allegation against the petitioners; they have not caused any injury and even their identification has not been established so far. Counsel further contend that co-accused, namely Gurmit Singh, Surlabh Singh and Jasbir Singh, against whom there are similar allegations, have been granted regular bail by this court vide order dated October 30, 2006, passed in Crl. Misc. No. 51624-M of 2006 and Crl. Misc. No. 61085-M of 2006. The State Counsel, on instructions from Darshan Singh ASI, does not dispute these facts.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to grant regular bail to the petitioners and they are accordingly ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court.

February 13, 2007 **(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)**
ndj **JUDGE**